



1 James I. Stang (CA Bar No. 94435)
2 Andrew W. Caine (CA Bar No. 110345)
3 Gail Greenwood (CA Bar No. 169939)
4 Brittany M. Michael (*Pro Hac Vice*)
5 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
6 One Sansome Street, 34th Floor, Suite 3430
San Francisco, California 94104-4436
Telephone: 415-263-7000
Email: jstang@pszjlaw.com
acaine@pszjlaw.com
ggreenwood@pszjlaw.com
bmichael@pszjlaw.com

CHANGES MADE BY COURT

Signed and Filed: April 8, 2025

Lewis Montali

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

8 Attorneys for the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 | In re Case No. 23-30564

13 THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Debtor.

Case No. 23-30564

Chapter 11

**ORDER GRANTING THE OFFICIAL
COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ORDER
AUTHORIZING DISCLOSURE OF
INDEPENDENT REVIEW BOARD
MINUTES**

19 The Court has considered *The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' Motion for an*
20 *Order Authorizing Disclosure of Independent Review Board Minutes and Aggregated Claims Data*
21 (“Motion”) and supporting documents, the *Debtor's Opposition* to the Motion, the Committee’s
22 reply, and the arguments of counsel and evidence adduced regarding the Motion at an in-person
23 hearing on March 13, 2025 before the Court (“Hearing”). Based upon the Court’s review of the
24 Motion and all pleadings, evidence, and arguments at the Hearing, and for reasons set forth in the
25 *Memorandum Decision on Motion for Order Authorizing Disclosure of Independent Review Board*
26 *Minutes and Aggregated Claims Data* entered March 26, 2025 (“Opinion”) [Docket 1105].¹

²⁸ ¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined have the meanings ascribed in the Opinion.

1 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 2 1. The Motion is GRANTED as to the IRB Minutes produced in discovery and
3 submitted under seal as Exhibit B to the Michael Declaration.
4 2. The IRB Minutes referenced in paragraph 1 can be made public.
5 3. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over any and all matters arising from the
6 interpretation, implementation, or enforcement of this Order.
7 4. The Court has considered and rejects the alternative version of this Order
8 submitted by counsel for the Debtor (Exhibit 1 to Docket 1128). In its
9 Opposition, etc. (Docket 1028) the Debtor did not request additional
10 redactions, and none will be considered now.

11 ### END OF ORDER ###
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28